

#### Pennsylvania Compensation Rating Bureau

United Plaza Building • Suite 1500 30 South 17th Street • Philadelphia, PA 19103-4007 (215)568-2371 • FAX (215)564-4328 • www.pcrb.com

August 15, 2017

#### **VIA SERFF**

The Honorable Teresa D. Miller Insurance Commissioner Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - Insurance Department 1311 Strawberry Square

Harrisburg, PA 17120

Attention: Mark Lersch, Director, Bureau of Property & Casualty Insurance Michael McKenney, Actuarial Supervisor, Bureau of Property & Casualty Insurance

RE: PCRB Filing C-369, Loss Cost Filing to Reflect the Impact of the Protz Decision Effective November 1, 2017

Dear Commissioner Miller:

On behalf of the members of the Pennsylvania Compensation Rating Bureau (PCRB), we hereby submit Filing C-369 (the Filing) which contains workers compensation loss costs and rating values proposed to be **effective 12:01 a.m., November 1, 2017** with respect to new and renewal policies having effective dates on or after that date.

The filing reflects the PCRB's analysis of the effects of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court decision in Protz v. WCAB (*Derry Area School District*) issued June 20, 2017, and proposes an overall average change in loss costs of +6.06%, prior to application of the assessment for the Office of the Small Business Advocate and loadings for the Pennsylvania Construction Classification Premium Adjustment Program, Merit Rating Plan off-balance and Certified Safety Committee credits. A detailed discussion of the considerations, methods, and exhibits is provided in the accompanying Actuarial Memorandum.

The PCRB respectfully requests a timely review of this filing, allowing implementation on a new and renewal basis **effective November 1, 2017**. A timely review will allow advance notice of final loss costs and related rating values to all participants in the Pennsylvania marketplace. Toward that objective, the PCRB will be pleased to answer any questions or provide any available supplementary information which you or your staff may require.

Please direct all questions to John Pedrick, Vice President – Actuarial Services, Peter Yoon, Director of Research, or to Kenneth Creighton, Chief Actuary.

Sincerely,

William V. Taylor President

WVT/jf



#### Pennsylvania Compensation Rating Bureau

United Plaza Building • Suite 1500 30 South 17th Street • Philadelphia, PA 19103-4007 (215)568-2371 • FAX (215)564-4328 • www.pcrb.com

To: The Honorable Teresa D. Miller

Pennsylvania Insurance Commissioner

From: John R. Pedrick, FCAS, MAAA

Vice President – Actuarial Services

Date: August 15, 2017

Subject: PCRB Filing C-369

Workers Compensation Loss Cost Filing Proposed Effective Date: November 1, 2017

This actuarial memorandum provides a discussion of the analysis performed by the PCRB that results in the following proposed change to loss costs in Pennsylvania:

Indicated and Proposed Cha	inge
Pennsylvania Loss Costs	+6.06%

The change is based on the PCRB's analysis of the impact of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court decision in Protz v. WCAB (*Derry Area School District*) issued June 20, 2017 (the Protz decision). The change has been applied uniformly to the PCRB classes, with a few exceptions<sup>1</sup>. The PCRB proposes that this change apply to new and renewal policies that are effective on or after November 1, 2017.

This filing relies on analysis using practical assumptions and straightforward calculations based on actual data. The analysis does not include the impact to all workers compensation system costs for two fundamental reasons. First, cost impacts were not included if the PCRB could not reasonably estimate them. Second, many costs are not included as part of loss costs<sup>2</sup>.

This memorandum and its attachments represent a reasoned and responsible approach to respond to the Protz decision in the near term. The PCRB will continue to research and evaluate the impact of the Protz decision for future filings.

There are two additional points regarding this filing and the Protz decision. First, the analysis produces an indicated change in prospective loss costs and is based on estimates of changes in future costs.

Second, the filing does not address or include a provision for retroactive changes in cost due to the potential for claims that are currently open to be reclassified as permanent, or the potential for claims that have already closed to reopen.

The discussion is organized by the following topics:

- Summary of the Protz Decision
- Considerations in Evaluating the Protz Decision

<sup>1</sup> The following classes are not affected: 9740, 9741, 0162 and 0164.

<sup>2</sup> The PCRB's loss costs reflect the cost of indemnity and medical benefits. They do not include the cost of managing and adjusting claims (Loss Adjustment Expense), insurer operating and underwriting expenses, and insurer profit provisions.

The Honorable Teresa D. Miller Commonwealth of Pennsylvania August 15, 2017 PCRB Filing C-369 Page 2 of 7

- Indicated Change in Loss Costs
- Adherence to Actuarial Principles and Standards of Practice
- Future Loss Cost Filings
- Closing Comments and Qualifications
- Index of Exhibits

#### SUMMARY OF THE PROTZ DECISION

On June 20, 2017, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court issued its decision in *Protz v. WCAB* (*Derry Area School District*), Nos 6 WAP 2016, 7 WAP 2017, holding that Section 306(a.2) of the Workers' Compensation Act (77 P.S. § 511.2) is an unconstitutional delegation of legislative authority. The Court concluded that the entirety of Section 306(a.2) was unconstitutional. Specifically, the Court upheld the Commonwealth Court order declaring that the portion of the Act requiring physicians to apply the methodology set forth in "the most recent edition" of the American Medical Association *Guides to the Evaluation of Permanent Impairment* violates the constitutional requirement that all legislative power "be vested in a General Assembly which shall consist of a Senate and a House of Representatives." The court did not specify how the ruling should be applied and leaves uncertainty as to whether there will be in-part or in-full retrospective application.

On June 21, 2017, the Pennsylvania Department of Labor & Industry, Bureau of Workers' Compensation announced that, effective immediately, the Bureau of Workers' Compensation would no longer authorize physicians to perform Impairment Rating Evaluations (IREs).

#### **CONSIDERATIONS IN EVALUATING THE PROTZ DECISION**

The ruling eliminated a cost containment measure that was put in place in the Pennsylvania system over 20 years ago with the enactment of Act 57 of 1996. Workers compensation industry experts have concluded that IREs significantly reduced uncertainty for the injured worker, the employer and the employer's insurer regarding the length of time and the costs of workplace injuries. After Act 57, but prior to the Protz decision, when a worker had been out of work due to a workplace injury for 104 weeks, and the worker reached Maximum Medical Improvement (MMI), an IRE could be requested within 60 days. An IRE could be requested after this timeframe, subject to judicial review. If the IRE resulted in an impairment rating of 50% or greater, the injury was classified as a Permanent Total (PT) injury and the worker was eligible to receive wage replacement benefits for the rest of the worker's life. If the IRE produced an impairment rating below 50%, the injury was classified as Permanent Partial and benefits were limited to a maximum of 500 weeks. This IRE process provided a clear path for all involved.

Other tools for managing the length of claims were introduced or strengthened by Act 57. Labor market surveys, light duty work opportunities, comprehensive efforts to help the worker return to work, and the Compromise and Release (C&R) process all contributed to greater certainty for claim outcomes.

The IRE process played two important roles. First, for those claims that had an IRE, the outcome was evident to all parties. Second, the existence of the IRE process provided

The Honorable Teresa D. Miller Commonwealth of Pennsylvania August 15, 2017 PCRB Filing C-369 Page 3 of 7

incentive for return to work, either part-time or full-time, or to settle the claim through the C&R process before an IRE would normally have been requested.

Following Act 44 of 1993 and Act 57, the cost of claims dropped over the next 21 years. With the PCRB's most recent loss cost filing effective April 1, 2017, the cumulative decrease in loss costs since 1997 was 62.3%.

#### **Medical Impact**

The Protz decision directly impacts indemnity benefits. While there is no immediate change to payments for medical benefits, an impact to medical costs is expected. Specifically, claimants may change their behavior and attempt to delay reaching MMI in order to continue receiving Temporary Total Disability (TT) indemnity benefits. To the extent claimants are successful at delaying a finding of MMI, a different mix of medical services may be provided, resulting in an increase in medical costs. The PCRB cannot reliably measure the extent to which this will occur and, therefore, has not included a provision for increased medical costs.

#### INDICATED CHANGE IN LOSS COSTS

The analysis described in this filing uses claim development statistics from the pre-Act 57 era in conjunction with claim cost statistics from recent PCRB filings. This has provided insight into the role of IREs and the other tools created or strengthened by Act 57. The claim cost information reflects the current distribution of exposures across classifications and recent levels of benefits for wage replacement, i.e., indemnity costs.

The indicated change in loss costs results from the PCRB's analysis of the structure of claims by type of injury, both in 1996, immediately before Act 57, and in 2017, prior to the Protz decision. The 1996 claim structure provides valuable insight into the expected changes in the distribution of claims by type of injury following the Protz decision. The analysis centers on two basic elements: the change in the relative frequency of PT claims and the change in the average cost of claims as injury types change to PT.

The calculation of the indicated change is summarized in **Exhibit I**. The distribution of indemnity benefits by injury type underlying the April 1, 2017 loss costs (the current loss costs) is shown in Column (1). This distribution is based on five years of Unit Statistical Plan data.

Without the IRE process, a portion of claims classified as Major Permanent Partial (Major), Minor Permanent Partial (Minor) and Temporary Total Disability (TT) would have been eligible for PT benefits. To determine the portions of claims by those injury types, the PCRB used the history of injury type development from the PCRB's 1996 analysis.

The claim development patterns are shown in **Exhibit IV** (pre-Protz) and **Exhibit V** (post-Protz). The factors in these exhibits show the changes by injury type over each of five report levels.<sup>3</sup> Four development stages are displayed, starting with development from first report to second report and ending with development from fourth report to fifth report.

The calculations in **Exhibit IV and V** proceed as follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In 1996, Unit Statistical data was collected over five report periods. The first report period is valued as of the 18<sup>th</sup> month following the effective date of a policy. The second through fifth reports occurred annually thereafter. The PCRB now collects data through ten reports.

The Honorable Teresa D. Miller Commonwealth of Pennsylvania August 15, 2017 PCRB Filing C-369 Page 4 of 7

- 1. For the claims classified as Major at first report, apply the factors in the row for development stage "1 to 2" for Injury Type "Major."
- 2. The resulting claim counts appear to the right, and are used in the next development stage, each to the specific row for the injury type. The factors across each row are applied and the result is summed producing claim counts by injury type at the end of the second development stage.
- 3. The results are used, as in step 2, for the next development stage. This is repeated through the development stage "4 to 5."
- 4. For the claims classified as Minor and as TT, follow the same process.

It is important to note that the analysis does not assume the number of claims from 1996, pre-Act 57, will occur today. Rather, the portion of claims that become PT is used to adjust the recent pre-Protz claim distribution to a post-Protz level.

The results are displayed in the following table.

Development from Major, Minor or TT at 1 <sup>st</sup> Report to PT at 5 <sup>th</sup> Report											
Injury Typo	Portion that Become PT at 5 <sup>th</sup> Report										
Injury Type	Pre-Protz	Change									
Major	0.34%	7.75%	7.41%								
Minor	0.10%	2.43%	2.33%								
TT	0.02%	0.31%	0.29%								

The results of the process shown in the table above are shown in **Exhibit II**, and are brought forward to **Exhibit I**, Column 2.

The second element of the analysis is the change in claim severity. Here, the PCRB used recent data in order to reflect current wages and the current distribution of injuries by class. **Exhibit III** shows claim data from the most recent PCRB filing, which reflects the average severity by injury type underlying current loss costs. This exhibit shows that the average cost for PT claims is 2.11 times the cost of Major claims, 12.57 times the cost of Minor claims and 45.02 times the cost of TT claims. These three cost factors are brought forward to **Exhibit II**, as well as **Exhibit I**, Column 3.

#### ADHERENCE TO ACTUARIAL PRINCIPLES AND STANDARDS OF PRACTICE

A fundamental actuarial principle applicable to this memorandum is:

"A rate is reasonable and not excessive, inadequate or unfairly discriminatory if it is an actuarially sound estimate of the expected value of all future costs associated with an individual risk transfer."

The immediate impact of the Protz decision was inadequacy in current PCRB loss costs, which is the reason the PCRB has submitted this filing. The PCRB will continue to analyze data and research methods that allow for accurate quantification of additional factors that affect loss costs. Two such factors are loss development and claim duration.

The Honorable Teresa D. Miller Commonwealth of Pennsylvania August 15, 2017 PCRB Filing C-369 Page 5 of 7

When determining loss costs, the PCRB uses standard actuarial methods that allow the use of data from recent policy years. Since it takes many years for claims to be paid and to eventually close, patterns of claim payments and of setting and revising case reserves from past years are used to develop recent claim values to their estimated ultimate levels. This is known as loss development. The current loss costs are based on data from the three most recent policy years which have been developed to ultimate using "age to ultimate" loss development factors.

In the years following Act 57, age to ultimate loss development factors declined, contributing to the 62.3% decline in overall loss costs mentioned earlier. In the first ten years following the implementation of Act 57, age to ultimate development factors for paid losses declined by 30% and for incurred losses (paid plus case reserves) by 16%. The age to ultimate development factors continued at this lower level through the 2017 filing.

It is reasonable to conclude that there will be a return to claim handling patterns of the Pre-Act 57 era, at least partially. This may produce higher claim costs and, without adjustment for the change, would exacerbate the inadequacy of loss costs.

Similarly, claim duration has dropped significantly from the Pre-Act 57 era. Claim duration is measured as the dollar weighted number of years to payment for all losses attributed to a policy year. In the PCRB's 1996 analysis, claim duration was 8.4 years. In the analysis underlying current loss costs, claim duration was 4.1 years, or 52% less.

It is reasonable to conclude that with the elimination of the IRE process, and its efficiency in determining the length of time over which benefits would be paid, claims are likely to stay open longer on average, causing total indemnity benefits to rise.

#### **FUTURE LOSS COST FILINGS**

If there is a change in Pennsylvania law regarding IREs due to legislation, the PCRB will carefully consider the changes and submit a filing based on its analysis. If a change in law makes this filing, No. C-369, unnecessary and occurs with sufficient time before the proposed effective date, the PCRB will withdraw this filing. In addition, the PCRB plans to submit its annual loss cost filing with a proposed effective date of April 1, 2018, in the fourth quarter of 2017.

#### **CLOSING COMMENTS AND QUALIFICATIONS**

For the reasons outlined above, the PCRB has submitted this filing. Future PCRB filings will reflect data from insurance carriers that includes paid losses and claim reserves set on a post-Protz basis. To the extent that the PCRB can quantify additional cost factors, there may be additional adjustments related to the Protz decision.

PCRB Filing C-369 reflects the actuarial opinion of those listed below. The PCRB respectfully requests a timely review of this filing, allowing implementation on a new and renewal basis **effective November 1, 2017**. A timely review will allow advance notice of final loss costs and related rating values to all participants in the Pennsylvania marketplace. The PCRB will be pleased to answer any questions or provide any available supplementary information which you or your staff may require.

This filing has been developed by and under the direction of John R. Pedrick, FCAS, MAAA, Peter H. Yoon, ACAS, and Kenneth M. Creighton, ACAS, MAAA. They meet the Qualification

The Honorable Teresa D. Miller Commonwealth of Pennsylvania August 15, 2017 PCRB Filing C-369 Page 6 of 7

Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to provide the actuarial opinion contained within this filing.

Please direct all questions to:

John Pedrick
Vice President – Actuarial
Services
jpedrick@pcrb.com
215-320-4429

Peter Yoon
Director of Research
pyoon@pcrb.com
215-320-4483

Kenneth Creighton Chief Actuary kcreighton@pcrb.com 215-320-4924 The Honorable Teresa D. Miller Commonwealth of Pennsylvania August 15, 2017 PCRB Filing C-369 Page 7 of 7

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
Exhibit I	Indicated Change in Loss Costs Due to the Protz Decision
Exhibit II	Frequency and Severity Changes for Major, Minor and TT
Exhibit III	Summary of Unit Statistical Data Underlying the April 1, 2017 Loss Costs
Exhibit IV	Pre-Protz Claim Development by Injury Type from the April 1, 2017 Loss Cost Filing
Exhibit V	Post-Protz Claim Development by Injury Type from the February 1, 1997 Loss Cost Filing
Exhibit VI	Proposed Loss Costs and Expected Loss Factors

#### Effects of Pennsylvania Supreme Court Decision in Protz v. WCAB

		Impact of Protz		
		Decision on	Impact of Protz	
		Permanent Total	Decision on Average	
Type of Injury	Benefit Weight *	Frequency **	Cost **	Combined Effect
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)=(1)x(2)x(3)
Death	2.31%		1.0000	0.0231
Permanent Total	1.11%		1.0000	0.0111
Major	49.29%			
Major to Major		92.59%	1.0000	0.4564
Major to Permanent Total		7.41%	2.1140	0.0772
Minor	23.09%			
Minor to Minor		97.67%	1.0000	0.2255
Minor to Permanent Total		2.33%	12.5748	0.0676
Temporary Total	24.21%			
Temporary Total to Tempora	ary Total	99.71%	1.0000	0.2414
Temporary Total to Permane	ent Total	0.29%	45.0172	0.0315
Impact on Indemnity Loss Cost				1.1337
Indemnity Weight***	45.35%			
Medical Weight	54.65%			
Indicated Change				1.0606 6.06%

<sup>\*</sup> See Exhibit III

<sup>\*\*</sup> See Exhibit II

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> PCRB Filing No. C-368 April 1, 2017 Loss Cost Filing (Exhibit 1, Line (10))

### **Frequency and Severity Impacts**

Major	Increase in Frequency of Permanent Total Claims	
	1a Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Pre-Protz)*	0.34% of Major claims
	1b Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Post-Protz)**	7.75% of Major claims
	1c Claim Frequency Cost Factor (1b-1a)	7.41%
	Increase in Indemnity Cost per Affected Claim	
	2a Ultimate Average Cost - PT ***	447,103
	2b Ultimate Average Cost - Major ***	211,495
	2c Cost Factor (2a/2b)	2.1140
Minor	Increase in Frequency of Permanent Total Claims	
	1a Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Pre-Protz)*	0.10% of Minor claims
	1b Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Post-Protz)**	2.43% of Minor claims
	1c Claim Frequency Cost Factor (1b-1a)	2.33%
	Increase in Indemnity Cost per Affected Claim	
	2a Ultimate Average Cost - PT ***	447,103
	2b Ultimate Average Cost - Minor ***	35,556
	2c Cost Factor (2a/2b)	12.5748
TT	Increase in Frequency of Permanent Total Claims	
	1a Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Pre-Protz)*	0.02% of Temporary Total claims
	1b Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Post-Protz)**	0.31% of Temporary Total claims
	1c Claim Frequency Cost Factor (1b-1a)	0.29%
	Increase in Indemnity Cost per Affected Claim	
	2a Ultimate Average Cost - PT ***	447,103
	2b Ultimate Average Cost - Temporary Total ***	9,932
	2c Cost Factor (2a/2b)	45.0172

<sup>\*</sup> Exhibit IV

<sup>\*\*</sup> Exhibit V

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Exhibit III

		Distribution of			
	Ultimate Claim Amount	Ultimate Claim			PT Average Cost /
Type of Injury	(Table III-C)	Amount	Count	Average Cost	TOI(i) Average Cost
Death	124,847,100	2.31%	446	279,926	
Permanent Total	59,911,800	1.11%	134	447,103	
Major	2,668,640,900	49.29%	12,618	211,495	2.1140
Minor	1,250,026,100	23.09%	35,157	35,556	12.5748
Temporary Total	1,310,445,400	24.21%	131,944	9,932	45.0172
Total Indemnity	5,413,871,300	100.00%		-	

Source: PCRB Filing No. C-368 April 1, 2017 Loss Cost Filing

Exhibit IV Major Pre-Protz Basis

#### **Claim Development by Injury Type**

DEVELOPMENT	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)=(1)x(3)	(10)=(1)x(4)	(11)=(1)x(5)	(12)=(1)x(6)	(13)=(1)x(7)
<u>STAGE</u>	At 1st Report	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
1 TO 2	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	0.0	PT	0.0244	0.8889	0.0278	0.0488	0.0488	PT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	2,531	Major	0.0000	0.0012	0.7957	0.1343	0.0687	Major	0.0	3.0	2,013.9	339.9	173.9
1 TO 2	0.0	Minor	0.0001	0.0003	0.1299	0.8221	0.0474	Minor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	0.0	Temp	0.0000	0.0001	0.0228	0.1107	0.8664	Temp	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
								Sum at 2nd Report	0.0	3.0	2,013.9	339.9	173.9
Fr	rom Sum at 2nd Repo												
	Columns (9) to (13)	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
2 TO 3	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 TO 3	3.0	PT	0.0000	0.9196	0.0625	0.0164	0.0000	PT	0.0	2.8	0.2	0.0	0.0
2 TO 3	2,013.9	Major	0.0000	0.0013	0.9083	0.0559	0.0345	Major	0.0	2.6	1,829.2	112.6	69.5
2 TO 3	339.9	Minor	0.0000	0.0001	0.0649	0.9029	0.0320	Minor	0.0	0.0	22.1	306.9	10.9
2 TO 3	173.9	Temp	0.0000	0.0000	0.0057	0.0134	0.9808	Temp	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.3	170.5
								Sum at 3rd Report	0.0	5.4	1,852.5	421.9	250.9
Fr	rom Sum at 3rd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	Major	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
3 TO 4	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 TO 4	5.4	PT	0.0000	0.9302	0.0349	0.0349	0.0000	PT	0.0	5.1	0.2	0.2	0.0
3 TO 4	1,852.5	Major	0.0000	0.0009	0.9526	0.0281	0.0184	Major	0.0	1.7	1,764.7	52.1	34.1
3 TO 4	421.9	Minor	0.0000	0.0003	0.0248	0.9603	0.0146	Minor	0.0	0.1	10.5	405.1	6.2
3 TO 4	250.9	Temp	0.0000	0.0000	0.0023	0.0068	0.9909	Temp	0.0	0.0	0.6	1.7	248.6
								Sum at 4th Report	0.0	6.9	1,775.9	459.1	288.9
Fr	rom Sum at 4th Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	Death	<u>PT</u>	Major	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
4 TO 5	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 TO 5	6.9	PT	0.0000	0.8692	0.1028	0.0187	0.0093	PT	0.0	6.0	0.7	0.1	0.1
4 TO 5	1,775.9	Major	0.0002	0.0015	0.9777	0.0128	0.0078	Major	0.4	2.7	1,736.3	22.7	13.9
4 TO 5	459.1	Minor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0087	0.9842	0.0071	Minor	0.0	0.0	4.0	451.8	3.3
4 TO 5	288.9	Temp	0.0000	0.0000	0.0010	0.0031	0.9958	Temp	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.9	287.6
		•						Sum at 5th Report	0.4	8.6	1,741.3	475.6	304.8

Source: Unit Statistical Data

Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Pre-Protz): 8.6 / 2,531 = 0.34%

Exhibit IV Minor Pre-Protz Basis

#### **Claim Development by Injury Type**

DEVELOPMENT	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)=(1)x(3)	(10)=(1)x(4)	(11)=(1)x(5)	(12)=(1)x(6)	(13)=(1)x(7)
<u>STAGE</u>	At 1st Report	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>
1 TO 2	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	0.0	PT	0.0244	0.8889	0.0278	0.0488	0.0488	PT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	0.0	Major	0.0000	0.0012	0.7957	0.1343	0.0687	Major	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	11,733	Minor	0.0001	0.0003	0.1299	0.8221	0.0474	Minor	1.2	3.5	1,524.1	9,645.7	556.1
1 TO 2	0.0	Temp	0.0000	0.0001	0.0228	0.1107	0.8664	Temp	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
								Sum at 2nd Report	1.2	3.5	1,524.1	9,645.7	556.1
	rom Sum at 2nd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	Death	<u>PT</u>	Major	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
2 TO 3	1.2	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 TO 3	3.5	PT	0.0000	0.9196	0.0625	0.0164	0.0000	PT	0.0	3.2	0.2	0.1	0.0
2 TO 3	1,524.1	Major	0.0000	0.0013	0.9083	0.0559	0.0345	Major	0.0	2.0	1,384.4	85.2	52.6
2 TO 3	9,645.7	Minor	0.0000	0.0001	0.0649	0.9029	0.0320	Minor	0.0	1.0	626.0	8,709.1	308.7
2 TO 3	556.1	Temp	0.0000	0.0000	0.0057	0.0134	0.9808	Temp	0.0	0.0	3.2	7.5	545.5
								Sum at 3rd Report	1.2	6.2	2,013.8	8,801.8	906.7
I	From Sum at 3rd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>
3 TO 4	1.2	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 TO 4	6.2	PT	0.0000	0.9302	0.0349	0.0349	0.0000	PT	0.0	5.8	0.2	0.2	0.0
3 TO 4	2,013.8	Major	0.0000	0.0009	0.9526	0.0281	0.0184	Major	0.0	1.8	1,918.3	56.6	37.1
3 TO 4	8,801.8	Minor	0.0000	0.0003	0.0248	0.9603	0.0146	Minor	0.0	2.6	218.3	8,452.4	128.5
3 TO 4	906.7	Temp	0.0000	0.0000	0.0023	0.0068	0.9909	Temp	0.0	0.0	2.1	6.2	898.5
								Sum at 4th Report	1.2	10.2	2,138.9	8,515.3	1,064.0
1	From Sum at 4th Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
4 TO 5	1.2	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 TO 5	10.2	PT	0.0000	0.8692	0.1028	0.0187	0.0093	PT	0.0	8.9	1.0	0.2	0.1
4 TO 5	2,138.9	Major	0.0002	0.0015	0.9777	0.0128	0.0078	Major	0.4	3.2	2,091.2	27.4	16.7
4 TO 5	8,515.3	Minor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0087	0.9842	0.0071	Minor	0.0	0.0	74.1	8,380.8	60.5
4 TO 5	1,064.0	Temp	0.0000	0.0000	0.0010	0.0031	0.9958	Temp	0.0	0.0	1.1	3.3	1,059.6
								Sum at 5th Report	1.6	12.1	2,167.4	8,411.7	1,136.8

Source: Unit Statistical Data

Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Pre- Protz): 12.1 / 11,733 = 0.10%

Exhibit IV Temp Total **Pre-Protz Basis** 

#### **Claim Development by Injury Type**

DEVELOPMENT	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)=(1)x(3)	(10)=(1)x(4)	(11)=(1)x(5)	(12)=(1)x(6)	(13)=(1)x(7)
<u>STAGE</u>	At 1st Report	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	Major	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	Major	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>
1 TO 2	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	0.0	PT	0.0244	0.8889	0.0278	0.0488	0.0488	PT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	0.0	Major	0.0000	0.0012	0.7957	0.1343	0.0687	Major	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	0.0	Minor	0.0001	0.0003	0.1299	0.8221	0.0474	Minor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1 TO 2	88,552	Temp	0.0000	0.0001	0.0228	0.1107	0.8664	Temp	0.0	8.9	2,019.0	9,802.7	76,721.5
								Sum at 2nd Report	0.0	8.9	2,019.0	9,802.7	76,721.5
	From Sum at 2nd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	Death	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>
2 TO 3	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2 TO 3	8.9	PT	0.0000	0.9196	0.0625	0.0164	0.0000	PT	0.0	8.1	0.6	0.1	0.0
2 TO 3	2,019.0	Major	0.0000	0.0013	0.9083	0.0559	0.0345	Major	0.0	2.6	1,833.8	112.9	69.7
2 TO 3	9,802.7	Minor	0.0000	0.0001	0.0649	0.9029	0.0320	Minor	0.0	1.0	636.2	8,850.9	313.7
2 TO 3	76,721.5	Temp	0.0000	0.0000	0.0057	0.0134	0.9808	Temp	0.0	0.0	437.3	1,028.1	75,248.4
								Sum at 3rd Report	0.0	11.7	2,907.9	9,991.9	75,631.7
	From Sum at 3rd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
3 TO 4	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3 TO 4	11.7	PT	0.0000	0.9302	0.0349	0.0349	0.0000	PT	0.0	10.9	0.4	0.4	0.0
3 TO 4	2,907.9	Major	0.0000	0.0009	0.9526	0.0281	0.0184	Major	0.0	2.6	2,770.1	81.7	53.5
3 TO 4	9,991.9	Minor	0.0000	0.0003	0.0248	0.9603	0.0146	Minor	0.0	3.0	247.8	9,595.3	145.9
3 TO 4	75,631.7	Temp	0.0000	0.0000	0.0023	0.0068	0.9909	Temp	0.0	0.0	174.0	514.3	74,943.5
								Sum at 4th Report	0.0	16.5	3,192.2	10,191.7	75,142.9
	From Sum at 4th Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	Death	<u>PT</u>	Major	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	Temp
4 TO 5	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4 TO 5	16.5	PT	0.0000	0.8692	0.1028	0.0187	0.0093	PT	0.0	14.4	1.7	0.3	0.2
4 TO 5	3,192.2	Major	0.0002	0.0015	0.9777	0.0128	0.0078	Major	0.6	4.8	3,121.0	40.9	24.9
4 TO 5	10,191.7	Minor	0.0000	0.0000	0.0087	0.9842	0.0071	Minor	0.0	0.0	88.7	10,030.6	72.4
4 TO 5	75,142.9	Temp	0.0000	0.0000	0.0010	0.0031	0.9958	Temp	0.0	0.0	75.1	232.9	74,827.3
		•						Sum at 5th Report	0.6	19.2	3,286.6	10,304.8	74,924.7

Source: Unit Statistical Data

Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Pre-Protz): 19.2 / 88,552 = 0.02%

Exhibit V Major Post-Protz Basis

#### **Claim Development by Injury Type**

DEVELOPMENT	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)=(1)x(3)	(10)=(1)x(4)	(11)=(1)x(5)	(12)=(1)x(6)	(13)=(1)x(7)
<u>STAGE</u>	At 1st Report	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	Temp	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
1-2	0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	0	PT	0.0085	0.8771	0.0720	0.0297	0.0127	PT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	2,531	Major	0.0006	0.0291	0.8173	0.1010	0.0517	Major	1.5	73.7	2,068.6	255.6	130.9
1-2	0	Minor	0.0001	0.0040	0.1738	0.7252	0.0964	Minor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	0	Temp	0.0000	0.0004	0.0148	0.0253	0.9588	Temp	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
								Sum at 2nd Report	1.5	73.7	2,068.6	255.6	130.9
F	rom Sum at 2nd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>
2-3	1.5	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2-3	73.7	PT	0.0032	0.8738	0.1036	0.0162	0.0032	PT	0.2	64.4	7.6	1.2	0.2
2-3	2,068.6	Major	0.0003	0.0280	0.8824	0.0689	0.0201	Major	0.6	57.9	1,825.3	142.5	41.6
2-3	255.6	Minor	0.0001	0.0035	0.1315	0.8258	0.0389	Minor	0.0	0.9	33.6	211.1	9.9
2-3	130.9	Temp	0.0000	0.0004	0.0092	0.0083	0.9820	Temp	0.0	0.1	1.2	1.1	128.5
								Sum at 3rd Report	2.4	123.2	1,867.8	355.9	180.3
F	rom Sum at 3rd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	Major	Minor	Temp	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
3-4	2.4	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3-4	123.2	PT	0.0009	0.8867	0.0945	0.0128	0.0051	PT	0.1	109.3	11.6	1.6	0.6
3-4	1,867.8	Major	0.0002	0.0279	0.9119	0.0443	0.0157	Major	0.4	52.1	1,703.2	82.7	29.3
3-4	355.9	Minor	0.0001	0.0015	0.0680	0.9080	0.0223	Minor	0.0	0.5	24.2	323.2	7.9
3-4	180.3	Temp	0.0000	0.0004	0.0060	0.0039	0.9896	Temp	0.0	0.1	1.1	0.7	178.4
								Sum at 4th Report	2.9	162.0	1,740.1	408.2	216.3
F	rom Sum at 4th Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	PT	Major	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
4-5	2.9	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4-5	162.0	PT	0.0012	0.8799	0.1074	0.0066	0.0042	PT	0.2	142.5	17.4	1.1	0.7
4-5	1,740.1	Major	0.0004	0.0306	0.9289	0.0287	0.0113	Major	0.7	53.2	1,616.4	49.9	19.7
4-5	408.2	Minor	0.0001	0.0007	0.0258	0.9596	0.0135	Minor	0.0	0.3	10.5	391.7	5.5
4-5	216.3	Temp	0.0000	0.0002	0.0036	0.0016	0.9945	Temp	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.3	215.1
								Sum at 5th Report	3.9	196.1	1,645.1	443.1	240.9

Source: Unit Statistical Data

Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Post-Protz): 196.1 / 2,531 = 7.75%

Exhibit V Minor Post-Protz Basis

#### **Claim Development by Injury Type**

DEVELOPMENT	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)=(1)x(3)	(10)=(1)x(4)	(11)=(1)x(5)	(12)=(1)x(6)	(13)=(1)x(7)
<u>STAGE</u>	At 1st Report	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>
1-2	0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	0	PT	0.0085	0.8771	0.0720	0.0297	0.0127	PT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	0	Major	0.0006	0.0291	0.8173	0.1010	0.0517	Major	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	11,733	Minor	0.0001	0.0040	0.1738	0.7252	0.0964	Minor	1.2	46.9	2,039.2	8,508.8	1,131.1
1-2	0	Temp	0.0000	0.0004	0.0148	0.0253	0.9588	Temp	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
								Sum at 2nd Report	1.2	46.9	2,039.2	8,508.8	1,131.1
	From Sum at 2nd Repo	rt											_
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	Major	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
2-3	1.2	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2-3	46.9	PT	0.0032	0.8738	0.1036	0.0162	0.0032	PT	0.2	41.0	4.9	0.8	0.2
2-3	2,039.2	Major	0.0003	0.0280	0.8824	0.0689	0.0201	Major	0.6	57.1	1,799.4	140.5	41.0
2-3	8,508.8	Minor	0.0001	0.0035	0.1315	0.8258	0.0389	Minor	0.9	29.8	1,118.9	7,026.5	331.0
2-3	1,131.1	Temp	0.0000	0.0004	0.0092	0.0083	0.9820	Temp	0.0	0.5	10.4	9.4	1,110.7
-								Sum at 3rd Report	2.8	128.3	2,933.6	7,177.2	1,482.8
	From Sum at 3rd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
3-4	2.8	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3-4	128.3	PT	0.0009	0.8867	0.0945	0.0128	0.0051	PT	0.1	113.8	12.1	1.6	0.7
3-4	2,933.6	Major	0.0002	0.0279	0.9119	0.0443	0.0157	Major	0.6	81.8	2,675.1	130.0	46.1
3-4	7,177.2	Minor	0.0001	0.0015	0.0680	0.9080	0.0223	Minor	0.7	10.8	488.0	6,516.9	160.1
3-4	1,482.8	Temp	0.0000	0.0004	0.0060	0.0039	0.9896	Temp	0.0	0.6	8.9	5.8	1,467.4
								Sum at 4th Report	4.2	207.0	3,184.2	6,654.3	1,674.2
	From Sum at 4th Repo												
	Columns (9) to (13)	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	<u>Minor</u>	<u>Temp</u>
4-5	4.2	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4-5	207.0	PT	0.0012	0.8799	0.1074	0.0066	0.0042	PT	0.2	182.1	22.2	1.4	0.9
4-5	3,184.2	Major	0.0004	0.0306	0.9289	0.0287	0.0113	Major	1.3	97.4	2,957.8	91.4	36.0
4-5	6,654.3	Minor	0.0001	0.0007	0.0258	0.9596	0.0135	Minor	0.7	4.7	171.7	6,385.4	89.8
4-5	1,674.2	Temp	0.0000	0.0002	0.0036	0.0016	0.9945	Temp	0.0	0.3	6.0	2.7	1,665.0
-								Sum at 5th Report	6.4	284.6	3,157.7	6,480.9	1,791.6

Source: Unit Statistical Data

Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Post-Protz): 284.6 / 11,733 = 2.43%

Exhibit V Temp Total **Post-Protz Basis** 

#### **Claim Development by Injury Type**

DEVELOPMENT	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)=(1)x(3)	(10)=(1)x(4)	(11)=(1)x(5)	(12)=(1)x(6)	(13)=(1)x(7)
<u>STAGE</u>	At 1st Report	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
1-2	0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	0	PT	0.0085	0.8771	0.0720	0.0297	0.0127	PT	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	0	Major	0.0006	0.0291	0.8173	0.1010	0.0517	Major	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	0	Minor	0.0001	0.0040	0.1738	0.7252	0.0964	Minor	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
1-2	88,552	Temp	0.0000	0.0004	0.0148	0.0253	0.9588	Temp	0.0	35.4	1,310.6	2,240.4	84,903.7
								Sum at 2nd Report	0.0	35.4	1,310.6	2,240.4	84,903.7
F	From Sum at 2nd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
2-3	0.0	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
2-3	35.4	PT	0.0032	0.8738	0.1036	0.0162	0.0032	PT	0.1	31.0	3.7	0.6	0.1
2-3	1,310.6	Major	0.0003	0.0280	0.8824	0.0689	0.0201	Major	0.4	36.7	1,156.4	90.3	26.3
2-3	2,240.4	Minor	0.0001	0.0035	0.1315	0.8258	0.0389	Minor	0.2	7.8	294.6	1,850.1	87.2
2-3	84,903.7	Temp	0.0000	0.0004	0.0092	0.0083	0.9820	Temp	0.0	34.0	781.1	704.7	83,375.4
								Sum at 3rd Report	0.7	109.4	2,235.8	2,645.7	83,489.0
	From Sum at 3rd Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	PT	<u>Major</u>	Minor	Temp	<u>Injury Type</u>	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
3-4	0.7	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3-4	109.4	PT	0.0009	0.8867	0.0945	0.0128	0.0051	PT	0.1	97.0	10.3	1.4	0.6
3-4	2,235.8	Major	0.0002	0.0279	0.9119	0.0443	0.0157	Major	0.4	62.4	2,038.9	99.0	35.1
3-4	2,645.7	Minor	0.0001	0.0015	0.0680	0.9080	0.0223	Minor	0.3	4.0	179.9	2,402.3	59.0
3-4	83,489.0	Temp	0.0000	0.0004	0.0060	0.0039	0.9896	Temp	0.0	33.4	500.9	325.6	82,620.7
								Sum at 4th Report	1.5	196.8	2,730.0	2,828.3	82,715.4
	From Sum at 4th Repo	rt											
	Columns (9) to (13)	Injury Type	Death	<u>PT</u>	Major	Minor	Temp	Injury Type	<u>Death</u>	<u>PT</u>	<u>Major</u>	Minor	<u>Temp</u>
4-5	1.5	Death	1.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	Death	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4-5	196.8	PT	0.0012	0.8799	0.1074	0.0066	0.0042	PT	0.2	173.2	21.1	1.3	0.8
4-5	2,730.0	Major	0.0004	0.0306	0.9289	0.0287	0.0113	Major	1.1	83.5	2,535.9	78.4	30.8
4-5	2,828.3	Minor	0.0001	0.0007	0.0258	0.9596	0.0135	Minor	0.3	2.0	73.0	2,714.1	38.2
4-5	82,715.4	Temp	0.0000	0.0002	0.0036	0.0016	0.9945	Temp	0.0	16.5	297.8	132.3	82,260.4
		•						Sum at 5th Report	3.2	275.2	2,927.8	2,926.1	82,330.3

Source: Unit Statistical Data

Indicated Ultimate Frequency of PT (Post- Protz): 275.2 / 88,552 = 0.31%

Ргороз	sea Effective Da	ed Effective Date: November 1, 2017 on New and Renewal B EXPERIENCE RATING PLAN				
CODE	LOSS	EXPECTED LOS			HAZARD GROUP	
NO	COST	A-1	A-2	A-3	A - G	
005	12.04	7.60	0.76	10.66	F	
		7.62	9.76	10.66		
007	4.23	2.67	3.42	3.74	С	
009	18.34	11.61	14.86	16.23	G	
015	10.44	6.61	8.46	9.24	E	
025	3.36	1.88	2.33	2.57	G	
028	2.64	1.48	1.83	2.02	F	
050	2.94	1.64	2.04	2.25	F	
051	3.26	1.83	2.27	2.51	F	
055	3.59	2.01	2.50	2.75	F	
059	4.21	2.36	2.93	3.22	E	
101	2.72	1.65	2.10	2.30	E	
103	1.18	0.71	0.90	0.99	C	
104	2.91	1.77	2.25	2.46	В	
105	3.32	2.01	2.56	2.40	D	
106	4.82	2.93	3.72	4.08	C	
107	2.26	1.37	1.74	1.91	В	
108	2.87	1.74	2.21	2.43	С	
109	3.71	2.25	2.86	3.13	C	
110	2.63	1.59	2.02	2.22	В	
111	5.83	3.53	4.49	4.92	С	
112	8.23	4.99	6.35	6.96	С	
113	2.07	1,25	1.59	1.74	C	
114	5.53	3.36	4.27	4.68	Ē	
115	1.94	1.17	1.49	1.63	D	
119	3.06	1.86	2.36	2.59	C	
400	4.00	0.04	0.57	0.04	_	
130	4.63	2.81	3.57	3.91	E	
132	2.70	1.64	2.08	2.28	С	
134	2.81	1.70	2.16	2.37	С	
135	2.29	1.39	1.77	1.93	С	
136	2.35	1.43	1.82	1.99	С	
139	3.66	2.22	2.82	3.09	С	
141	4.04	2.45	3.12	3.42	В	
142	1.97	1.19	1.52	1.66	С	
161	1,61	0.98	1.24	1.36	С	
163	3.23	1.96	2.50	2.73	С	
165	4.96	3.01	3.82	4.19	В	
166	2.60	1.58	2.01	2.20	C	
185	4.85	2.94	3.74	4.10	В	
187	3.70	2.24	2.85	3.12	В	
189	3.40	2.06	2.62	2.87	С	
191	2.74	1.66	2.11	2.32	C	
201	3.60	2.18	2.78	3.04	D	
204	2.40	1.45	1.85	2.03	В	
205	2.68	1.62	2.06	2.26	В	
221	1.78	1.08	1.38	1.51	С	

Table A-1 applies to the most current policy year, Table A-2 to the first prior policy year, and Table A-3 to the second prior policy year.

Propos	Proposed Effective Date: November 1, 2017 on New and Renewal Bi EXPERIENCE RATING PLAN					
2225					HAZARD	
CODE	LOSS _	EXPECTED LOS			GROUP	
NO	COST	A-1	A-2	A-3	A - G	
222	2.13	1.29	1.64	1.80	С	
225	2.18	1.32	1.68	1.84	Ċ	
227	2.18	1.81	2.30	2.52	C	
255	2.40	1.45	1.85	2.03	E	
					C	
257	2.32	1.41	1.79	1.96	C	
261	2.28	1.38	1.76	1.93	C	
263	1.77	1.08	1.37	1.50	C	
265	2.31	1.40	1.78	1.95	С	
275	2.97	1.80	2.29	2.51	C	
276	3.58	2.17	2.76	3.02	C	
	0.00		0	0.02		
281	1.99	1.21	1.53	1.68	В	
282	5.25	3.18	4.05	4.43	D	
285	2.23	1.35	1.72	1.88	В	
291	3.68	2.23	2.84	3.11	E	
297	3.40	2.06	2.62	2.87	В	
301	4.74	2.88	3.66	4.01	F	
305	3.40	2.06	2.62	2.87	D	
306	3.48	2.11	2.68	2.93	В	
311	2.26	1.37	1.74	1.91	С	
319	3.42	2.07	2.64	2.89	Α	
323	3.53	2.14	2.72	2.98	С	
327	2.62	1.58	2.01	2.21	С	
402	3.57	2.16	2.75	3.02	E	
403	2.23	1.35	1.72	1.88	С	
404	2.54	1.55	1.97	2.15	E	
406	2.26	1:09	2.52	2.76	_	
406 407	3.26	1.98 1.76	2.52	2.76	E C	
	2.90		2.24	2.45		
411	4.27 4.41	2.59	3.29 3.40	3.61	E E	
413		2.67		3.72	E	
415	2.96	1.79	2.28	2.50	<b>E</b>	
416	1.44	0.87	1.11	1.22	С	
421	5.19	3.15	4.01	4.39	E	
425	6.35	3.85	4.89	5.36	E	
427	3.27	1.99	2.53	2.77	E	
429	3.52	2.13	2.71	2.97	D	
431	4.51	2.74	3.48	3.81	С	
433	2.63	1.59	2.02	2.22	С	
435	3.36	2.03	2.59	2.83	C	
441	1.06	0.65	0.82	0.90	С	
445	2.19 <b>a</b>	1.33	1.69	1.85	С	
446	1.20	0.72	0.92	1.01	В	
447	4.00 <b>b</b>	2.43	3.08	3.38	E	
449	1.84	1.12	1.43	1.56	D	
451	2.71	1.64	2.09	2.29	D	
454	2.43	1.47	1.87	2.05	C	

Table A-1 applies to the most current policy year, Table A-2 to the first prior policy year, and Table A-3 to the second prior policy year.

a OD: \$0.07 Supplemental is not subject to experience rating. Code as 0067.

**b** OD: \$0.06 Supplemental is not subject to experience rating. Code as 0066.

Proposed Effective Date: November 1, 2017 on New and Renewal Business **EXPERIENCE RATING PLAN** HAZARD CODE LOSS **EXPECTED LOSS FACTORS TABLE \* GROUP** NO COST A-2 A - G A-1 A-3 456 2.97 1.80 2.29 2.51 D 2.10 С 457 2.72 1.65 2.30 В 458 1.54 0.93 1.19 1.30 С 459 0.82 0.50 0.63 0.69 D 461 2.25 1.36 1.73 1.90 463 2.44 2.06 1.48 1.88 D 465 2.83 1.71 2.18 2.39 467 3.67 2.22 2.83 3.10 В 471 0.89 0.54 0.69 0.75 В 472 0.91 0.55 0.70 0.77 В 473 2.06 1.25 1.58 1.73 В 474 1.69 1.02 1.30 1.43 C D 475 1.78 1.08 1.38 1.51 C 476 1.22 0.74 0.94 1.03 C 477 1.70 1.03 1.31 1.44 483 1.28 0.78 0.99 1.08 В 485 1.08 0.66 0.84 0.92 В С 486 1.26 0.76 0.97 1.06 С 487 0.92 0.56 0.71 0.78 488 0.47 0.65 В 0.77 0.60 В 489 1.07 0.65 0.83 0.91 491 3.60 2.18 2.78 3.04 С С 493 3.59 2.18 2.77 3.03 D 495 4.54 2.75 3.50 3.83 В 1.16 497 1.50 0.91 1.27 D 499 2.91 2.25 2.46 1.77 1.88 Ε 501 3.11 2.40 2.62 1.80 502 2.97 2.29 2.51 Α 506 1.42 0.86 1.09 1.20 С 507 1.94 1.17 1.49 1.63 F 509 4.76 2.89 3.67 4.02 G Ε 511 4.89 2.96 3.76 4.12 512 3.77 2.29 2.91 3.19 Ε 2.77 c 2.13 2.33 В 513 1.68 514 Е 3.91 2.37 3.02 3.31 520 0.19 0.24 0.27 С 0.30 521 0.81 0.51 0.65 0.71 В С 522 1.15 0.70 0.89 0.97 С 523 1.36 1.74 1.90 2.15 С 524 3.18 2.01 2.58 2.82 D 525 5.53 3.36 4.27 4.68 526 7.07 7.72 D

8.84

14.66

19.60

9.75

16.06

21.60

Ε

D

F

5.52

7.12

11.53

15.79

8.72

12.71

19.01

28.17

527

528

529

Table A-1 applies to the most current policy year, Table A-2 to the first prior policy year, and Table A-3 to the second prior policy year.

OD: \$0.15 Supplemental is not subject to experience rating. Code as 0176. С

110003	ed Lifective Da	EXPERIENCE RATING PLAN				
CODE	LOSS	EXPECTED LOS			GROUP	
NO	COST	A-1	A-2	A-3	A - G	
ESE	2.20	4.20	4.70	4.00	0	
535	2.28	1.38	1.76	1.93	С	
536	4.83	2.93	3.73	4.09	C	
551 550	0.99	0.60	0.76	0.84	F	
553	1.14	0.69	0.88	0.96	G	
555	0.41	0.25	0.32	0.35	В	
563	1.19	0.72	0.91	1.00	C	
571	2.25	1.36	1.73	1.90	C	
573	3.47	2.10	2.67	2.92	F	
581	1.59	0.96	1.23	1.34	E	
587	1.90	1.15	1.46	1.60	E C	
601	6.07	3.37	4.19	4.62	G	
603	5.47	2.95	3.67	4.04		
605	6.30	3.46	4.29	4.73	F E	
606	5.60	3.08	3.82	4.21	G	
607	3.56	1.98	2.46	2.71	F	
					,	
608	5.77	3.16	3.92	4.32	F	
609	3.67	2.03	2.52	2.78	F	
611	8.06	4.41	5.48	6.04	E	
615 d	8.03	4.41	5.48	6.04	G	
0152	0.87		A K		G	
617	2.68	1.48	1.84	2.03	F	
645	6.25	3.37	4.19	4.62	F	
646	5.01	2.71	3.36	3.70	E	
647	6.42	3.56	4.42	4.87	D	
648	5.81	3.19	3.96	4.37	E	
649	3.05	1:58	1.97	2.17	Е	
651	6.19	3.40	4.22	4.65	F	
652	8.37	4.69	5.82	6.41	F	
653	6.68	3.64	4.52	4.98	F	
654	6.92	3.74	4.65	5.12	, F	
004	0.32	5.74	4.00	5.12		
655	11.21	6.13	7.62	8.39	G	
656	5.52	3.05	3.79	4.18	G	
657	7.12	3.92	4.87	5.37	F	
658	8.12	4.33	5.37	5.92	F	
659	14.71	8.14	10.11	11.14	G	
660	2.25	1.22	1.52	1.67	Е	
661	3.05	1.60	1.99	2.19	Ē	
662	4.72	2.64	3.28	3.61	E	
663	3.52	1.92	2.38	2.62	E E	
664	3.31	1.78	2.21	2.43	Ē	
	<b>-</b>		<b>5</b> 00		_	
665	7.43	4.07	5.06	5.57	F	
666	6.18	3.31	4.11	4.53	E	
667	1.66	0.90	1.12	1.24	F	
668	6.02	3.28	4.08	4.49	Ē	
669	5.89	3.21	3.98	4.39	F	

Table A-1 applies to the most current policy year, Table A-2 to the first prior policy year, and Table A-3 to the second prior policy year.

Associated classes - both codes must be applied. The second code is not subject to experience rating and applies to the full payroll of the associated class.

d OD: \$0.51 Supplemental applies when coverage for Federal black lung is provided. It is not subject to experience rating. Code as 0164.

Proposed Effective Date: November 1, 2017 on New and Renewal Business **EXPERIENCE RATING PLAN** HAZARD **EXPECTED LOSS FACTORS TABLE \*** CODE **GROUP** LOSS NO COST A - G A-1 A-2 A-3 670 4.90 2.61 3.24 3.57 Е F 673 4.85 2.69 3.69 3.34 Е 674 4.34 2.40 2.98 3.29 F 675 3.19 2.42 1.77 2.20 Е 676 3.96 2.10 2.61 2.88 677 3.02 1.66 2.06 2.27 G 7.04 3.87 4.80 5.29 679 4.72 2.61 3.24 3.57 681 691 5.72 3.14 3.90 4.30 9.41 5.18 6.43 7.08 693 Ė 695 4.53 2.50 3.10 3.42 709 1.55 0.87 1.08 1.19 G E 716 2.24 1.56 1.71 1.25 Е 718 2.38 1.34 1.66 1.83 721 8.22 4.99 6.34 6.95 744 0.38 0.23 0.29 0.32 D 751 1.54 0.93 Ε 1.19 1.30 752 0.78 0.48 0.61 0.66 G С 753 2.92 1.77 2.26 2.47 0.96 F 755 1.14 0.69 0.88 757 1.11 1.22 Ε 1.44 0.87 Ε 759 3.23 5.33 4.11 4.51 Ε 801 6.93 4.39 5.62 6.14 Ε 802 4.73 2.99 3.83 4.19 803 13.05 8.25 10.57 11.54 Ε 804 3.43 2.78 3.04 Е 2.17 3.03 Ε 805 4.78 3.88 4.23 806 8.37 5.30 6.79 7.41 Е 807 4.83 3.06 3.92 4.28 Е Ε 808 3.49 2.82 3.08 2.20 809 4.03 4.40 F 4.97 3.14 F 810 3.89 2.46 3.15 3.44 Е 0162 0.51 Ε 811 6.18 3.91 5.01 5.47 F 812 6.08 3.85 4.93 5.39 813 D 2.61 3.34 3.64 4.12 C D 814 2.16 1.37 1.75 1.91 815 2.74 1.74 2.22 2.43 2.01 1.27 1.63 1.78 D 816 Ε 817 4.75 3.01 3.85 4.21 818 0.71 0.91 1.00 D 1.13 819 D 0.74 0.95 1.04 1.18 820 1.68 D 1.91 1.20 1.54 821 С 4.90 3.10 3.96 4.33

1.95

825

3.08

Associated classes - both codes must be applied. The second code is not subject to experience rating and applies to the full payroll of the associated class.

2.50

2.73

С

Table A-1 applies to the most current policy year, Table A-2 to the first prior policy year, and Table A-3 to the second prior policy year.

Propos	sea Effective Da	ate: November 1, 20 EXPERIEN		HAZARD	
CODE	LOSS	EXPECTED LOS			GROUP
NO	COST	A-1	A-2	A-3	A - G
000	6.00	2.00	F 10	E	F
828	6.28	3.98	5.10	5.57	E
855	3.40	2.15	2.75	3.01	E
857	4.20	2.65	3.40	3.71	E
858	5.69	3.60	4.61	5.03	F
859	5.86	3.71	4.75	5.19	E
860	6.32	4.00	5.12	5.60	È
862	5.73	3.63	4.64	5.07	E
865	3.73	2.36	3.02	3.30	С
867	6.80	4.31	5.52	6.02	D
871	6.78	4.29	5.50	6.00	D
877	2.41	1.52	1.95	2.13	В
879	3.84	2.43	3.11	3.40	В
880	4.85	3.07	3.93	4.29	C
881	3.82	2.42	3.09	3.38	В
882	3.62 4.77	3.02	3.87	4.23	В
002	4.77	3.02	3.01	4.23	В
883	2.96	1.87	2.40	2.62	В
884	1.05	0.67	0.85	0.93	В
885	2.56	1.63	2.08	2.27	C
886	1.79	1.14	1.46	1.59	B
887	0.70	0.44	0.57	0.62	С
888	2.82	1.78	2.28	2.49	С
889	0.23	0.15	0.19	0.21	В
890	0.40	0.15	0.19	0.21	C
891 892	1.00 0.75	0.63 0.48	0.81 0.61	0.88 0.67	B B
002	0.70		0.01		
893	0.66	0.42	0.53	0.58	В
894	1.37	0.86	1.11	1.21	В
895	0.89	0.56	0.72	0.79	В
896	1.36	0.86	1.10	1.20	Α
897	1.38	0.87	1.12	1.22	Α
898	2.00	1.27	1.62	1.77	С
899	1.20	0.76	0.97	1.06	Č
903	0.24	0.76	0.20	0.22	E
903	1.11			0.22	E
905	0.10	0.71 0.06	0.91 0.08	0.99	D
007	2.44	0.40	0.70	2.00	Б
907	3.41	2.16	2.76	3.02	В
910	4.21	2.66	3.41	3.72	С
911	5.50	3.48	4.46	4.87	В
914	1.38	0.87	1.12	1.22	В
915	2.00	1.27	1.62	1.77	С
916	1.43	0.91	1.16	1.27	В
917	1.78	1.13	1.45	1.58	С
918	1.96	1.24	1.59	1.73	С
919	1.75	1.11	1.42	1.55	В
920	0.36	0.23	0.30	0.32	С

Table A-1 applies to the most current policy year, Table A-2 to the first prior policy year, and Table A-3 to the second prior policy year.

Пороз	sed Effective Da	HAZARD			
CODE	LOSS	EXPECTED LOS	CE RATING P		GROUP
NO	COST	A-1	A-2	A-3	A - G
921	4.62	2.93	3.75	4.09	D
922	3.04	1.93	2.47	2.69	D
923	2.31	1.46	1.87	2.05	В
924	3.06	1.94	2.48	2.71	В
925	1.70	1.08	1.38	1.50	В
926	2.37	1.50	1.93	2.10	В
927	0.92	0.59	0.75	0.82	В
928	1.65	1.05	1.34	1.47	В
932	0.77	0.49	0.63	0.69	
933	3.41	2.16	2.76	3.02	C
004	0.04	4.70	0.07	0.40	
934	2.81	1.78	2.27	2.48	C
935	1.02	0.65	0.83	0.90	C
936	0.49	0.31	0.39	0.43	D
939	4.42	2.80	3.58	3.91	F
940	4.24	2.68	3.43	3.75	C
941	2.17	1.37	1.76	1.92	С
942	2.64	1.67	2.13	2.33	C
943	3.02	1.91	2.45	2.67	Č
944	1.59	1.01	1.29	1.41	В
945	2.16	1.37	1.75	1.91	Α
946	2.59	1.64	2.10	2.29	С
948	1.54	0.97	1.25	1.36	Α
949	0.38	0.24	0.30	0.33	С
951	0.25	0.16	0.21	0.23	E
952	0.73	0.46	0.59	0.65	С
953	0.12	0.07	0.10	0.10	С
954	1.98	1,25	1.60	1.75	Ē
955	0.21	0.14	0.17	0.19	D
956	0.10	0.06	0.08	0.09	D
957	0.35	0.22	0.29	0.31	C
050	1.10	0.70	0.00	0.00	0
958	1.10	0.70	0.90	0.98	С
959	1.22	0.77	0.98	1.08	C
960	2.28	1.44	1.85	2.02	С
961	0.82	0.52	0.66	0.72	С
962	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	F
963	0.39	0.24	0.31	0.34	В
964	1.67	1.06	1.36	1.48	В
965	0.50	0.31	0.40	0.44	В
966	2.50	1.59	2.03	2.22	E
967	0.93	0.59	0.76	0.83	D
968	0.05	0.64	0.70	0.05	В
	0.95	0.61	0.78	0.85	
969	2.15	1.36	1.74	1.90	С
970	2.83	1.79	2.29	2.50	В
971	3.11	1.97	2.52	2.75	С
972	1.82	1.16	1.48	1.62	С

Table A-1 applies to the most current policy year, Table A-2 to the first prior policy year, and Table A-3 to the second prior policy year.

Proposed Effective Date: November 1, 2017 on New and Renewal Business

Prope	osed Effective Date				
CODE	1.000	EXPECTED LO	ICE RATING P		HAZARD
NO	LOSS	A-1	A-2	A-3	GROUP A - G
	0001	A-1	A-Z	<u> </u>	Α-0
973	2.65	1.67	2.14	2.34	В
974	1.82	1.16	1.48	1.62	C
975	1.32	0.84	1.07	1.17	A
976	1.10	0.70	0.90	0.98	В
977	0.47	0.29	0.37	0.41	Ā
978	2.24	1.42	1.81	1.98	C
979	2.85	1.80	2.31	2.52	C
980	4.68	2.97	3.80	4.15	E
981	1.48	0.94	1.20	1.31	A E
982	2.71 <b>e</b>				E
983	5.86	3.71	4.75	5.19	C
984	0.13	0.08	0.10	0.11	C E C C
985	3.61	2.29	2.93	3.20	E
986	1.25	0.79	1.01	1.10	C
987	0.70	0.44	0.57	0.62	C
988	0.19	0.12	0.16	0.17	C
991	2.68	1.69	2.17	2.37	A
992	4.97	3.14	4.03	4.40	E
993	773.90 <b>f</b>	489.89	627.24	685.05	D
994	g	h	h	h	G
995	5.35	3.39	4.34	4.74	F
996	773.90 <b>i</b>	489.89	627.24	685.05	G
997	0.78	0.50	0.64	0.69	D
999	3.78	2.40	3.07	3.35	D
333	0.70	2.40	3.07	0.00	D
0006	3.55	2.25	2.88	3.14	D
0008	3.50	2.21	2.83	3.09	D
0011	2.62	1,65	2.12	2.31	В
012	4.41	2.79	3.57	3.90	D
0013	3.25	2.06	2.64	2.88	С
0016	2.24	1.42	1.81	1.98	С
0034	3.05	1.93	2.47	2.70	С
0036	3.09	1.96	2.51	2.74	С
0083	3.53	2.23	2.86	3.12	C C
0170	2.02	1.28	1.64	1.79	С
4774	0.04	4 70	0.40	0.07	0
4771	2.81	1.70	2.16	2.37	G
0771	0.70	0.57	A 57	E 00	G
7405	5.65 1.47	3.57	4.57	5.00	E
7405 7445		0.93	1.19	1.30	E G
7 443	0.31				G

Table A-1 applies to the most current policy year, Table A-2 to the first prior policy year, and Table A-3 to the second prior policy year.

Associated classes - both codes must be applied. The second code is not subject to experience rating and applies to the full payroll of the associated class.

- Per person per week. A partial workweek is to be counted as a full workweek.
   Not subject to Experience or Retrospective Rating.
- f Per ambulance corps.
- g See appropriate page of Section 2.
- h Apply the following percentages (A-1 = 67.05%, A-2 = 85.85%, A-3 = 93.76%) to annual loss cost from the appropriate page of Section 2.
- i Per hazardous materials response team.

	орос	Sa Elicotivo Di	•	EXPERIENCE RATING PLAN			
CODE		LOSS	EXPECTED LO	SS FACTORS	S TABLE *	GROUP	
NO		COST	A-1	A-2	A-3	A - G	
	_						
7413		0.52	0.33	0.42	0.46	G	
7453		0.11				G	
7421		0.63	0.39	0.51	0.55	F	
7424		1.48	0.94	1.20	1.31	G	
7428		3.69	2.33	2.99	3.26	E	
9740	k	0.02					
9741	k	0.01					
Per Capita							
0901		20.73	13.12	16.80	18.35	В	
0902		1.02	0.65	0.83	0.90	A	
0908		186.40	117.99	151.07	165.00	C	
0909		62.93	39.84	51.01	55.71	В	
0912		307.04	194.36	248.85	271.79	В	
0913		394.53	249.74	319.77	349.24	C	
A Rated							
9985		Α	Α	Α	Α		
0133		Α	Α	Α	Α		

Table A-1 applies to the most current policy year, Table A-2 to the first prior policy year, and Table A-3 to the second prior policy year.

Associated classes - both codes must be applied. The second code is not subject to experience rating and applies to the full payroll of the associated class.

**k** Not subject to experience rating.

#### PENNSYLVANIA VOLUNTEER FIREMEN

#### **CODE 994**

#### SCHEDULE OF ANNUAL LOSS COSTS

Proposed Effective Date: November 1, 2017

Population	Annual Loss Cost	Population	Annual Loss Cost
Up to 300	1,953	6,501 to 7,000	8,162
301 to 500	2,398	7,001 to 7,500	8,460
501 to 700	2,790	7,501 to 8,000	8,755
701 to 1,000	3,226	8,001 to 8,500	9,041
1,001 to 1,500	3,794	8,501 to 9,000	9,322
1,501 to 2,000	4,406	9,001 to 9,500	9,592
2,001 to 2,500	4,928	9,501 to 10,000	9,858
2,501 to 3,000	5,392	10,001 to 15,000	11,313
3,001 to 3,500	5,800	15,001 to 20,000	13,833
3,501 to 4,000	6,187	20,001 to 25,000	16,308
4,001 to 4,500	6,544	25,001 to 30,000	18,755
4,501 to 5,000	6,889	30,001 to 35,000	21,164
5,001 to 5,500	7,222	35,001 to 40,000	23,539
5,501 to 6,000	7,540	40,001 to 45,000	25,875
6,001 to 6,500	7,856	45,001 to 50,000	28,171
		For each additional 5,000 population	2,305